

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,578	06/24/2003	Tamotsu Suzuki	Q76211	8208
23373 7	590 09/08/2005		· EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			SPEER, TIMOTHY M	
SUITE 800	LVAINA AVENOE, IV.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037		1774	
			DATE MAILED 00/00/2004	

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

We -		•	1.
- Ju	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
	10/601,578	SUZUKI, TAMOTSU	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Timothy M. Speer	1774	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	_
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the r earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN RR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	29 June 2005.		
,— ,	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.l). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	ndrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 10 September 2003 Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	3 is/are: a) \square accepted or b) the drawing(s) be held in abeya prrection is required if the drawin	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in priority documents have bee ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>06/24/03</u>. 	8) Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/601,578 Page 2

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 06/24/2003 has been considered and made of record. A copy of the 1449 initialed, dated and signed by the Examiner is included herewith. Regarding the IDS, the Examiner notes that the IDS did not include abstracts of the JP references not discussed in the application (as noted on the 1449), but rather, translations of claim 1 of each of these references. Each reference has been considered in light of the corresponding, translated claim.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: it appears that the word "has" should be inserted at line 3 before the phrase "a center-line average". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the

subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, it is unclear whether the term "tabular" at line 6 is intended to modify both "inorganic compound particles" and "metal particles" or only the former.

Claim 11 contains improper Markush language. At the last line, the word "and" should be changed to --or--. See MPEP 2173.05(h).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 7. Claims 2-11 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art of record fails to teach or to suggest a method for forming a glossy image comprising using the combination of heat transfer sheets ((A) and (B)) and image receiving sheet (C) as set forth in independent claim 1 and imagewise transferring the image forming layer of each of the transfer sheets (A) and (B) to the image receiving layer of the image receiving sheet (C) by laser thermal transfer.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 10/601,578 Page 4

Art Unit: 1774

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy M. Speer whose telephone number is 571-272-8385. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena L. Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Timothy M. Speer

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

A.U.1774 9/6/05